

Session Evaluation

Results Exported on October 09, 2019

SESSION FEEDBACK

EVENT SRS 54th Annual Meeting


SESSION PMC Debates

SESSION DATE & TIME September 18, 2019 10:45AM

SPEAKERS Gregory M Mundis, Ronald A. Lehman,
Khaled M Kebaish, Charles H Crawford III

Q. This session provided new ideas or information I expect to use and will influence my practice of medicine.


Top Response Options



Answer Options	Responses	Percentage
Strongly Agree	13	33.33%
Agree	20	51.28%
Neutral	5	12.82%
Disagree	1	2.56%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
Total	39	100.00%

Q. This session will help me improve the care I provide to my patients.

Top Response Options




Answer Options	Responses	Percentage
Strongly Agree	14	35.90%
Agree	15	38.46%
Neutral	7	17.95%
Disagree	3	7.69%
Strongly Disagree	0	0.00%
Total	39	100.00%

Q. Which speaker was most effective and memorable?

Email	Responses
Anonymous	Crawford
Anonymous	Kebaish
Anonymous	Crawford
Anonymous	Kebaish
Anonymous	Khaled kebaish
Anonymous	Kebaish
Anonymous	Cahill
Anonymous	Lehman
Anonymous	No one
Anonymous	Ronald Lehman Jr
Anonymous	It was an interesting debate between a neat Luddite approach to advancing technology and those who embrace it. There was some bias toward academic practice as those of us who have to be at the OR for the entire case benefit more from the ergonomics of navigation and robot and even older surgeons who have placed screws freehand for decades have benefitted. Dr. Khabeish's dismissal of this technology as something that indicates deficiency in training was disingenuous at best.
Anonymous	Greg Mundis was compelling yet fair.
Anonymous	Greg Mundis
Anonymous	Mundis
Anonymous	Crawford

Q. Would you recommend this session to a colleague?

Top Response Options



Answer Options	Responses	Percentage
Yes	36	92.31%
No	3	7.69%
Total	39	100.00%

Q. Please provide general comments about the session and how it might be improved.

Email	Responses
Anonymous	Less personal "attacks" or gimmicks with debate and focus on content.
Anonymous	Debates by tradition have had some good humored personal "attacks". This doesn't work well any longer in our "global society". For many the "humor" is probably lost
Anonymous	I think is perfect
Anonymous	It was overall informative and entertaining
Anonymous	Ok
Anonymous	Interesting discussion , may change my practice
Anonymous	Too much personality "pokes" takes away from meaningful information